



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,513	07/25/2003	Youichi Kudoh	INTLP0107US	1138
43076	7590	09/16/2008	EXAMINER	
MARK D. SARALINO (GENERAL)			TOPGYAL, GELEK W	
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1621 EUCLID AVENUE, NINETEENTH FLOOR			2621	
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/16/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/626,513	KUDOH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	GELEK TOPGYAL	2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2008.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Priority***

1. Receipt is acknowledged of JP2002-222669 submitted 6/20/2008 under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/17/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In re pages 7-8, the applicants argue that claims 1 and 4-7 expressly recites the feature for authenticating the client itself based on the magic word broadcasted from the client and that Lipscomb et al. rather teaches the authentication of the user. In support of their argument, the applicants argue several points in regards to the magic word and how its utilized in the instant application.

3. In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. It should be noted that the system of Lipscomb et al. teaches that after the user has logged in with the confirmation code (password), the **media player device is enabled**. The system of Lipscomb et al. discusses details that would read on limitations that are currently not recited. It is not possible for the user to themselves perform the tasks of requesting and receiving the media assets, these actions are initiated by the user, but the underlying system, i.e. the media player device executes the functions. Therefore, although the magic word (password/confirmation code) is input by the user, the media player device is the actual

device that's enabled to perform the functions of the instant application and therefore the limitations as presented are clearly met by Lipscomb et al.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1-7 and 9-14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipscomb et al. (US 7,020,704).

6. **Regarding claims 1**, Lipscomb et al. teaches 1 an AV system, comprising:  
a server and a plurality of clients, at least one of said clients including;  
a broadcaster broadcasting a predetermined magic word (Col. 10, lines 45-62  
teaches of user-specific passwords; Fig. 11 also teaches "confirmation code". Both  
meet the claimed magic word), and

a requester requesting said server to establish a connection (Col. 10, lines 45-62  
and Col. 13, lines 9-34 teaches of a user's "media player" 200 requesting to establish a  
connection)

said server including;

a storage device having a secure storage region (Col. 3, line 47 – col. 4, line 11  
and Fig. 2, portal 300, teaches wherein a library of contents, including music, video and  
data are stored on the portal 300), and

an authenticator (Portal 300) authenticating the client based on the magic word broadcasted from the client, and accepting the request from the client to establish the connection with the client (Col. 10, lines 45-62 teaches of synchronization after the user has logged in with user-specified password. Col. 13, lines 9-34 also teaches wherein after the confirmation code is entered, the connection is established),

said client further including;

a writer (col. 12, lines 13-35) writing music and/or video data in said secure storage region via the connection established by said server when authenticated by said server (col. 12, lines 13-35), and

a reproducer reading out music and/or video data from said secure storage region via the connection established by said server and reproducing sound and/or video based on said data when authenticated by said server (col. 10, line 63 - col. 11, line 59 teaches of the ability to download/stream the multimedia data stored on the portal to the media player 300).

However, it is not clearly taught that the connection is established based on an IP address and a port number transmitted from said server and that transmitting the IP address and the port number of said server to the authenticated client.

It is well known and old in the art for devices that connect to a computer server to request a connection by sending an IP address and a port number of a server; therefore, Official Notice is taken.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate sending an IP address and a port number of a server

so that the device can connect to the exact server to which it is trying to establish a connection.

**Regarding claim 2**, Lipscomb et al. teaches the claimed wherein said writer rips said data from a medium having said data recorded therein and writes said data in said secure storage region (col. 12, lines 13-35).

**Regarding claim 3**, Lipscomb et al. teaches the claimed wherein said reproducer reproduces sound and/or video by a streaming method (col. 10, line 63 - col. 11, line 59 teaches of the ability to download/stream the multimedia data stored on the portal to the media player 300).

**Method claims 4, 9 and 10** are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in the system claims 1-3, respectively.

**Program product claims 5, 11 and 12** are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in system claims 1-3, respectively.

**Apparatus claims 6 and 13** are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in claims 1 and 3, respectively.

**Apparatus claims 7 and 14** are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in claims 1 and 2, respectively.

### ***Conclusion***

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GELEK TOPGYAL whose telephone number is (571)272-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am -5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gelek Topgyal/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Thai Tran/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621